

mph
Hc
M

3
3

SPEECH

OF

330143
14. 8. 36.

SIR OLIVER MOWAT.

Premier of Ontario,

AT A MEETING IN PORT ELGIN,

ON

THURSDAY, 23RD NOVEMBER, 1892

With reference to THE BY-ELECTION in North Bruce.

Complimentary addresses to the Premier from the Town Council of Port Elgin, and the Reform Association of the North Riding of Bruce having been read, Sir Oliver made the following speech in acknowledgment of the addresses, and in reference to matters of interest in the election:—

MR. CHAIRMAN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,—I thank the Council of Port Elgin for the welcome which they have given to me on this occasion. I appreciate the fact that it comes not from a political society, but from a body in which both political parties are represented. With all my heart I thank also the Reform Association for the address which they have presented to me. I don't take to myself as my due the strong expressions of appreciation which the Town Council and the Reform Association have been good enough to employ, but their addresses show to me, at all events, the kind feeling that exists towards me on the part of your Council and on the part of your Association. They tell me what I ought to aim at in my public life; and all that I have to say is that ever since I entered public life I have endeavored to do my duty, that I have always felt a great interest in my native Province, and that it has been my purpose throughout to do what I could for its advantage. I like to see it prosper, I like to see its people happy, I like to see them advance in well-being and well-doing in every way. What power your confidence has given to me I have endeavored to employ in advancing your best interests. There will always be differences of opinion about public matters. Conscientious men do not always take the same view, and therefore I have not had the support of the whole population, but at all events the majority of the people of this Province have, ever since I became Premier, given me their confidence. I am very grateful to them for this, and their confidence, repeatedly manifested, has increased very much my interest to them and my desire to act for their advantage.

This is a day appointed by the Governor-General in Council to be observed as a day of thanksgiving throughout the Dominion. In the early days of the Dominion it was thought not to be within his sphere to appoint a day for this purpose; the duty was left to the Lieutenant-Governor of every Province that chose to have such a day; and it so happened that the first public document which I signed as Attorney-General was a proclamation by the Lieutenant-Governor of this Province appointing such a day for Ontario. An advance in public sentiment on the subject has since been made throughout the Dominion, and no day is proclaimed by the Governor-General for the whole Dominion. The proclamation does not give sacredness to the particular day selected, but a yearly day of thanksgiving for past blessings is agreeable to right-thinking people, and, by the day being selected and named by the Queen's representative in Canada, it is, as a matter of convenience and respect, observed in all the churches and by the whole population. I believe there is no law of Church or State as to how exactly a day so appointed should be observed. A morning service in the churches is appropriate and general. Family unions afterwards are another commendable characteristic of the day. It is in other respects made a holiday in various ways. As a matter of sentiment, some of us would have preferred another day for our present meeting, if it could have been so arranged; but there is no incongruity in holding a well-conducted meeting like the present on the evening of the day appointed by the Government for general thanksgiving: Quite the contrary. We have met here to consider the proper way of exercising on this occasion that great right of freemen, the elective franchise, and there is nothing earthly which more strongly calls for thanksgiving than the blessing of freedom, and the blessing of the franchise; nor is there anything which is more obligatory in point of ethics than to ascertain how the franchise should be used at our elections. This meeting has for its object to call to mind what there has been of good in the Provincial Government in the past, what in that respect demands thanksgiving, and how the franchise should in the interest and for the good of the country be used at the coming election in this riding.

The occasion of the election we deeply regret. Your late member was not long enough a member, and had not the health, to make his mark in the Legislature before his death, but we all regarded him as a sterling man of moral excellence and good parts; we all got to like him and appreciate him; and we all mourned his death.

I congratulate the Reformers of the Riding on the choice they have made of their candidate for the vacancy. Mr. Pearson has been an earnest and active Reformer all his life; he is well spoken of by all as a man of integrity and uprightness, he is well informed on political subjects, and able to state his views with clearness. The esteem and confidence of his township have been shown by his having been for several years the Reeve; and his election as Warden shows the estimation in which he was held throughout the whole county.

At this election there are three candidates—the candidate of the Reform party, the candidate of the Conservatives, and the candidate nominated by the Patrons of Industry.

PREVIOUS GENERAL ELECTIONS,
and the Reform Record.

Until now the contests at the Ontario elections for the last twenty years have been between Reformers and Conservatives wherever the election turned on principle; and the Provincial Liberal party have had the verdict of the country as a whole in their favor at every general election during that period. At past elections everything which could be said against the Ontario Government was said. Most of the things said against us by the Conservative press and by the Conservative section of the Patrons was said at one or other or at all of these past elections; and a good many other groundless charges were made against us, which when investigated were so plainly unfounded that they are not repeated now. The public read or heard what our opponents urged at those former elections; and the result at every general election demonstrated that the people were satisfied or more than satisfied with our record of useful legislation, of our fairness to all classes, of our prudent, economical, efficient and honest administration, and successful maintenance of every important Provincial rights, territorial and constitutional.

We showed, and the verdict of the people was, that during our long term of office the Reform Government and Legislature had been active and not unsuccessful legislators; that we had availed ourselves of every legislative jurisdiction, which under the B. N. A. Act a Province has, to promote the well-being of all classes of the people. We had passed Acts for enlarging the electorate from time to time as public opinion was prepared for the enlargement; Acts giving to the Province the ballot, first at Provincial elections, and afterwards at Municipal and certain School elections; and Acts for improving our election laws in other important respects. We had passed other important Acts for the special benefit of farmers; Acts for the benefit in various ways of mechanics and wage-earners; Acts respecting the public health, which, as administered, have already saved hundreds of lives; Acts for reforming and simplifying the administration of justice; Acts for improving the laws of property; and Acts for improving the laws on every other subject within Provincial jurisdiction. We showed to the satisfaction of the people that we had employed usefully and beneficially the revenue and means of the Province, that we had executed with economy and due regard to the public interest the public works of the Province, and that we had observed like economy and care in all other expenditures with which we had to do. We showed that our institutions relating to agriculture, and to the public health, and our institutions for the insane and the blind and for deaf mutes, had been managed with an efficiency which had from time to time been observed and remarked upon by experts from other countries who had visited these institutions. We showed that this efficient management had been effected with an economy which had no known parallel in governmental management anywhere. We showed that the administration of justice had been carried on with a vigor and an energy which had defied attack. We showed that whatever matters of administration or legislation admitted of just comparison with like matters elsewhere, the comparison was never against Ontario, and, especially in matters of administration, was in our favor.

Our record since the last general election has corresponded with our record previously. The work of useful legislation has been continued, and the work of honest and prudent administration in public affairs. In every session since there has been important legislation in the public interest. Every subject which has been ripe for advantageous legislation has been dealt with, and dealt with in a manner giving general satisfaction.

THE PATRONS OF INDUSTRY.

At this election for North Bruce the "Patrons of Industry" have nominated a candidate in opposition to both the Reformer and Conservative. A new element has thus been introduced which requires attention. The Patrons of Industry constitute an important organization. They include a considerable number of farmers and others in some of the constituencies, and they include Reformers as well as Conservatives. I heartily and gladly acknowledge the honesty of the great body of Patrons, and the honesty of (so far as I know) their prominent leaders and speakers. I acknowledge also the importance of some of the objects set forth in their platform, and the fitness of a union of farmers of all parties in order to accomplish common objects on which they are agreed and which may not be obtainable otherwise. But, with all respect to the organization, I think it clear that a majority are acting under a mistake on some essential points, and that those Patrons who are Reformers have been placed by the rules of the organization in a false position as regards Provincial affairs. My long experience in public life enables me to point out these mistakes on the part of some of my fellow-Reformers, and I wish to take this opportunity of doing so, so far as this can be done in the hour or so for which I am to occupy your attention.

INDEPENDENCE OF VOTERS COMPARED.

The idea is sedulously cultivated that a Patron is more independent than a Conservative or a Reformer, and this has an attraction for many who have joined the association. But is it so? Have the members of the association greater independence in voting than others have? What do their rules say? Here they are, as stated in *The Canada Farmer's Sun* of 31st October: "The action taken by the Grand Association provides that if a candidate is nominated by a Patron convention in any constituency, either for Parliament or the Legislature, *the duty of every Patron shall be to support that candidate, and to use every influence in his power to secure his election. If a candidate is put in the field, it shall be the duty of every Patron to support that candidate. It is not compulsory that every member shall continue to be a Patron.* . . . If a majority of the delegates at any adjourned convention declares that it would be unwise to have an endorsed candidate, *it would then, but not until then, be permissible for any and every member to vote as he pleased or not to vote at all; but the committee must be appointed, and the adjourned convention must be held before liberty of action in the matter of voting is conceded by the resolution to individual members.*" No Reformer or Conservative who does not join the association is under any such obligation to his party. His liberty of voting has no restriction. Notice what the rules of this new organization involve—a Patron from the

Reform party is bound to vote for the choice of the majority, though the candidate nominated or endorsed should be a Conservative and sure to vote with the Conservatives in the House. He must vote for the candidate of the majority though he may have no confidence in such candidate, and though the Reform opponent of the Patron candidate may hold to every doctrine in the Patrons' platform, may have been a tried representative of the people, and may in the judgment of the voter be more reliable in every respect than the nominee of the association. That surely is not independence. To an outsider it seems slavery rather than independence.

PARTYISM.

Partyism is said to have been and to be "a curse to the country." Is that so in reference to the support which Reformers have given to the Ontario Government of Reformers? Do the Reformers who have joined the Patrons of Industry think so? I do not believe that they do. I do not think that one intelligent patron who has been a Reformer has any such thought. The partyism which he thinks to have been bad and a "curse" is, the partyism of Conservatives in opposing a good Reform Government, and the partyism which in Dominion affairs induced Conservative farmers to go for the N. P. against the interest of all farmers, and, as many of us believe, of the country generally. It is said by representative Patrons that men should vote unshackled by party. But that is the very thing which the constitution of the Patrons absolutely and emphatically forbids. The "bondage of partyism" is spoken of. Could there be any greater bondage of partyism than that provided for by the rules which I have read? Has there been in any political party heretofore any 'bondage' equal to it? I ask my brother Reformers who are Patrons to consider these things. The partyism of this new party, the partyism which it demands, is far beyond that of the parties which the organization wants to supplant.

Again: it is said that for want of union amongst farmers one farmer 'kills' another's vote. Is it not right that if one farmer votes wrong another farmer should 'kill' the wrong vote, by himself voting right? Would it not have been right in 1870 to kill a National Policy vote by voting for the candidate who was against the N. P.? The propriety of voting with or against our neighbor depends altogether on circumstances. Why should Reformers not have 'killed' Conservative votes for the Provincial House during the last 21 years? Reformers believed that the Reform party was giving them good government, and so believing their 'killing' the votes of those who voted against the Reform candidates was a duty, not a crime; was a good and right thing, not a curse.

Is there as regards Provincial affairs some great object to be obtained by Reformers which is worth this sacrifice of their personal independence? And the sacrifice of the Reform party, which the organization, if it should be successful, would accomplish in Western Ontario?

PRIMARY OBJECTS OF THE PATRONS.

I understand that the primary object of the Patrons' organization was to strengthen the movement in favor of reciprocity between Canada and the United States, and of a reduction of customs duties to the basis of a Revenue Tariff. These objects are of special interest to farmers, whether Conservatives or Reformers, as enabling them to

get more for what they produce, and to pay less for articles they have to buy; and in the present depression these objects are of special importance to the farming community. So, also, all were interested in such legislation as would protect farmers from combinations and monopolies; all were alike dissatisfied with the Dominion Franchise Act; all wanted the voters' lists for the Dominion as well as the Province to be prepared by the municipal officers. In general they wanted the Senate to be abolished, or reformed, as being in its present condition useless and expensive; they were against the Dominion redistribution of the electoral divisions; and they favored confining the electoral divisions to county boundaries. They condemned the Dominion railway grants, some of them having been a scandal; and the only apparent remedy being the entire abolition of Dominion railway grants. They were also against other points of Dominion policy; and, in opposition to the Dominion policy, they were for the reservation of public lands for actual settlers; for purity of administration; and for rigid economy in the expenditure of the revenues of the country.

These particulars constituted a large and important platform for the new party on which there could be the most cordial and unobjectionable union of Conservatives and Reformers who held these views, and I should think might well have contented the leaders of the organization without other questions being introduced. But all these matters relate to Dominion politics. Many Conservatives in consequence of their dissatisfaction with the policy of their Conservative leaders and the Conservative Government were disposed to oppose them, but were not disposed to go over to the Reform camp. Some half-way house was the desideratum. Reformers, on the other hand, had no quarrel with their leaders, nor with the Reform Government or party; but it seems to have been thought necessary to make some concession to their Conservative associates who had always opposed the Reform Government and Reform party. The problem appears to have been, how could Reformers be induced to take ground against their party to the extent necessary, whatever it should be. The cry of being independent of party presented one means, and on this I have remarked.

FARMERS' WELL REPRESENTED ALREADY.

Another cry is that farmers are not sufficiently represented in the Ontario Assembly, and that their interests are consequently ignored or disregarded there. Nothing could be more unfounded than this. There is not and never has been in the Provincial House or farmers' questions a particle of antagonism between the farmers of the House and those members who are not farmers. There is not a farmers' question on which a division on those lines has ever occurred in my time, or, as far as I know, at any time. In a House which has to deal with the tariff question there might be room for such a division, as the interest of some manufacturers may as such be antagonistic to the interest of farmers. But the Provincial Legislature has in its jurisdiction neither that question nor any other yet suggested in which the farmers and the rest of the community have not a common interest.

The object of the Patrons is said to be to get 25, or from 25 to 30, farmers and Patrons in the House of Commons at Ottawa, and in the Legislative Assembly at Toronto, at the next general election. The

Patron leaders and speakers have surely overlooked—at all events I have seen no allusion to the fact—that in the Ontario Assembly, as it stood before the recent vacancies occurred in Bruce and Lambton, there were at least 28 farmers, and these include some of the best farmers in the Province.

Mr. DRYDEN :—The number is 32.

Well, the Minister of Agriculture is likely to know the exact number better than I do. Either number in an Assembly of 91 would be a larger proportion than, according to the last census, farmers and farmers' sons bear to the population of the Province. Then of the members who are not farmers, several are the sons of farmers, and all their sympathies are with the occupation which their fathers followed.

Further : Of the eight members who constitute the Government, five were brought up on a farm, and in their early days worked on a farm ; six of the eight are sons of men who followed farming for a living, some of them during their whole lives, and some for part of their lives ; and one of the eight has been a practical farmer all his life, is a practical farmer still, and is now Minister of Agriculture. If you ask him he will tell you that he has never found his colleagues or the House indifferent, not to speak of hostility, to any proposal which appeared to him calculated to benefit the farming community. It has always been among the farmers that my own strength as a member of Parliament has lain. When I represented South Ontario, the county town, where farmers had little or no influence, used to give a majority against me, though my majorities for the whole riding varied from about 500 to nearly 800. In North Oxford, which I now represent, the county town in 1883 gave a small majority for me, and in 1886 a small majority against me, while in the whole riding my majority in 1883 was 883, and in 1886 was 1,157. My other four elections in North Oxford have been by acclamation.

REFORM MEASURES FOR FARMERS.

It is out of the question to suppose that a House and a Government constituted as I have described, could be hostile or indifferent to the interest of farmers. On the contrary, the Ontario Legislature in its attention to all that concerns the interest of farmers is in advance of every State of the American Union, and almost every country in the world. Let me remind you of some illustrations of our active interest in matters specially affecting farmers.

More than thirteen years ago the Ontario Government appointed an Agricultural Commission, consisting chiefly of farmers. The commissioners investigated the whole subject of agriculture and kindred pursuits. They held sessions in various parts of the Province, took the evidence of leading agriculturists, stock-breeders, dairymen and others, as to the condition of the several industries which the witnesses represented. They also investigated the condition of agriculture and of similar pursuits in the United States, Great Britain and other countries. After concluding their investigations they made a report which is universally acknowledged to have been of vast value in disseminating useful information on the subject of agricultural pursuits ; and their report has been a text-book for farmers, dairymen, stock-breeders, apiarists and others ever since.

To supply the demand for copies we had to print several large editions of the work for distribution to the farming community.

Then the Agricultural College and Model and Experimental Farm at Guelph have been carried on successfully, and it is now admitted everywhere that the institution is one of the foremost of the kind, if not the very foremost, in existence anywhere.

Then take the travelling dairy established by the Minister of Agriculture, which has proved an unqualified success. Its meetings have been held in every part of the Province. No matter what the political complexion of any district might be, the travelling dairy has been at its service upon the application of the local dairymen; and the highest testimony to the efficiency and usefulness of the work comes alike from political opponents and political friends of the Government.

Again, a Bureau of Statistics was established some years ago by the Ontario Government and has also done great service to the agricultural and industrial interests of the Province. Bankers, financial agents and business men generally unite with farmers and others in praise of its operations.

Further: We have appropriated for the drainage of farm lands a permanent fund of \$550,000 to be lent to municipalities for drainage purposes; and as the money lent is returned to the treasury it is again lent out to other municipalities for the same purposes. In this way the loans for drainage purposes since 1872 inclusive have amounted to nearly a million of dollars (\$994,959.80). An immense quantity of farm land in all parts of the Province has by this means been drained, rendered more fertile and increased greatly in value.

Nor should it be forgotten that by the success of this Government in securing the once disputed territory, many thousands of acres of fine agricultural lands were secured to our Province. In the Rainy River district alone there is a sufficient area of agricultural land for several large counties; and this land, let me say, is equal to the very best in the Province, not excepting that of the County of Bruce or of Oxford.

These are only a few of the things that the Reform Party, through its representatives, has been doing in the interest of the farmers of the Province. I am more than interested in them. As a Canadian I am proud of the striking evidence recently given at Chicago of the achievements of the farmers of Ontario. In this respect Ontario has literally led the world. No State in the Union and no country in Europe has achieved like success. All the Provinces of Canada did well, but the lion's share of honors came to Ontario. In horses, cattle, pigs, sheep and honey our Province carried off a large proportion of the highest prizes. In cheese, Ontario literally swept the board. Let me mention also that in its educational exhibit, a part of which was agricultural, Ontario won the highest possible award; and so high an authority as Sir Richard Webster, the Exposition representative of Great Britain, declared this exhibit to be the nearest to his ideal of perfection that he had ever seen.

GRANTS FOR AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES.

In further illustration of the interest taken by the Reform Party in all that specially concerns farmers, let me tell you something of the annual grants made out of the Provincial exchequer for

agricultural objects. Most, or all, of the Provincial expenditure is for the purposes of common interest to all classes, including farmers. On this point I have but to mention the expense necessarily incurred in carrying on the Government, and in legislation, in the administration of justice, in the maintenance of the asylums and central prison and in the assistance heretofore given to railways, to hospitals and charities, as well as everything else for which appropriations are made. In all these farmers have a common interest with the rest of the people.

But, in addition to the expenditure which is for the common needs or common interest of all classes, there is a large expenditure by the Province every year for the special benefit of farmers. This expenditure in 1892 amounted in all to more than \$200,000. The particulars appear in the published accounts.

The first item is for the department of the Minister of Agriculture, his officers and expenses, amounting to		\$15,975 85
Drainage Commission	4,381 88	
Dehorning Commission	2,770 50	
Electoral Division Societies	72,200 00	
Grants to other associations	18,716 45	
Farmers' Institutes	8,191 03	
Incidentals, chiefly for printing bureau re- ports and bulletins, etc	8,458 80	
Forestry	1,881 23	
Tree-planting	773 70	
Bureau of Statistics	3,844 11	
Agricultural College	22,205 70	
Buildings at Agricultural College	27,156 00	
Model and Experimental Farm proper	9,467 71	
Experiments	5,534 47	
Dairy	10,092 64	
Garden	4,644 44	
Mechanical	1,270 86	

Total..... \$217,567 13

Every item on this list was considered and approved by the numerous farmers on the Reform side of the House; and I do not recollect that any of them was disapproved of by any of the farmers on the Opposition side. The total amount paid out during my Premiership in the special interest of farmers and farming has aggregated millions of dollars.

THE PATRONS' PLATFORM.

Now, in the face of all the facts which I have mentioned, how is the statement to be made good that the Reform party has neglected the special interests of farmers? Is there anything in the Patrons' official platform which points to such neglect? As first published the platform was somewhat different from the platform as now circulated, and if the reason has been explained I have not been fortunate enough to see the explanation. Some of the changes appear to me to indicate Tory manipulation. I notice that the revised edition gives a less prominent place to the tariff and reciprocity articles. These in the first edition followed immediately the article as to British connection, but in the revised edition they are down as Nos. 8 and 9 instead of 2 and 3. Again,

there was an article in the first edition demanding in large terms "prompt and rigid civil service reform;" and a Patron who spoke at one of the meetings seems to have understood this as referring to the superannuation system in force in the Dominion, and which he condemned. But civil service reform is dropped in the second edition except as limited to the appointment or election of some county officials now appointed by the Provincial Government. Is no civil service reform necessary in the case of the Dominion? The first edition called for "a uniform franchise for all legislative purposes, whether municipal, Provincial or national." This is omitted from the revised edition. Is this demand abandoned? Were these omissions manipulated by Tory Patrons in the interest of the Dominion Government?

In the first edition there was a demand for 'legislation to secure a more equitable monetary system by which the high current rates of interest will be reduced for productive purposes.' This article is omitted in the second edition. Is the demand abandoned? In the first edition there was an article not in the second calling for "a reduction in the number of legislators and County Councillors." Is this demand abandoned? Another article in the first edition and not in the second called for the abolition of the subsidy received from the Dominion. Is this demand abandoned?

In the platform, as it now stands in the revised edition, is there anything which shows that, in spite of all we have done in the interest of farmers, we have neglected their interests, and that the new party must take the matter in charge?

On this point let it be noted that not one article of the thirteen in the revised platform affects farmers specially, or otherwise than in common with all other classes. Of the articles affecting Provincial matters, one only is not in accordance with the policy and practice hitherto pursued by the Ontario Reform Government and Legislature; and that one is the patronage article.

The first article in both editions is 'Maintenance of British connection.' Happily this is a principle not peculiar to the Patrons of Industry, but common to the two historical parties as well. The second article is 'The reservation of the public lands for the actual settler.' That has always been our policy and practice, but has not been the policy or practice of the Dominion. The third article is 'Purity of administration and absolute independence of Parliament.' This also is the doctrine of the Reform party, and has always been the practice of the Ontario Government and Legislature. The fourth article is 'Rigid economy in every department of the public service.' This also is the Reform doctrine and practice. One illustration of this is, that our institutions are carried on with unsurpassed efficiency at a less cost per head than similar institutions in the United States, where the democracy rules. This expenditure is a large item in our total expenditures, amounting in 1892 to \$618,435. Another illustration is the case of the new Parliament and departmental building, which has been put up without any extras, a feat which a public corporation or community or private individual is seldom able to accomplish when erecting a large building for any purpose. No boodling was permitted; and the smallness of the cost of the buildings is an astonishment to every one competent to judge. Some American gentlemen who visited the building have declared that with them it would have cost twice or thrice what it has cost us.

The fifth article is 'Simplification of the laws and a general reduction of the machinery of government.' What reduction of the machinery is meant does not appear. As to the simplification of the laws, every party is in favor of this to the utmost extent practicable. With a view to it the whole statutes of the Province were revised and consolidated in 1877, and again in 1887; and since 1887 some of the most important classes of statutes have been again revised and consolidated. Then, as to a 'general reduction in the expenses of the Government': The expense of the Provincial Government is now as low as is consistent with the efficiency of the public service; and I am prepared to prove this in regard to every branch of the Government in reference to which the contrary may be alleged. The sixth article is the abolition of the Canadian Senate. This refers to Dominion politics exclusively.

The 7th article is 'A system of civil service reform that will give each county power to appoint or elect all county officials paid by them, except County Judges.' This is the only civil service reform which the framers of the platform can suggest. Civil service reform in Dominion affairs is abandoned. As to county officials paid by the county, there is now but one officer I know of who is paid out of municipal funds and not appointed by the municipality, namely, the gaoler, whom the sheriff has always appointed, he being responsible for the gaol and prisoners, and the appointment being subject to approval by the Government, in order to prevent the chance of sheriffs being misled into appointing a personal friend who may not be qualified for the duties. This is the only article in the platform which takes ground antagonistic to the Reform party. There have always been in the Reform party some who have favored, more or less, as matter of theory, the local election or appointment of the local officers of Government, or some of them; but this did not occasion their withdrawing or being excluded from the Reform party.

The 8th, 9th and 10th articles are the articles as to the tariff, reciprocity, combines and monopolies, all of which refer to the Dominion only. The 11th article is, 'Prohibition of the bonus-ing of railways by Government grants, as contrary to the public interest.' I presume that this article refers to Dominion grants, because the policy of the Ontario Government and Legislature has for some years been against further Government grants to any railway, except colonization railways through lands belonging to the Province in the unorganized territory. Grants were made by the Ontario Legislature as well as by municipalities to procure the building of railways in the settled parts of the Province until these parts were pretty well supplied with railways. Farmers were amongst the strongest advocates of these railway grants, and farmers as a class profited most by the railways aided. On the other hand, some of the grants made to railways by the Dominion Government in the settled parts of the Dominion, and perhaps elsewhere, have been under circumstances which made them a scandal.

The twelfth article is, 'Preparation of the Dominion and Provincial voters' lists by municipal officers.' The Provincial lists have now and always have been prepared by the municipal officers. It

is the Dominion lists which are prepared otherwise. The thirteenth article is, 'Conformity of electoral divisions to county boundaries, as constituted for municipal purposes, as far as the principle of representation by population will allow.' This, also, I presume, has reference to the Dominion. Not only has Ontario legislation in giving additional members not disregarded county boundaries where they had been observed in the distribution made in the B. N. A. Act, but in several cases we limited or extended electoral divisions to county boundaries where these had not been followed in the B. N. A. Act. This was so as regards the Counties of Peterboro', Victoria, Lambton and Kent. For this purpose we abolished Bothwell, which was one of the electoral divisions created for the purpose of the B. N. A. Act, and not following municipal county boundaries. We have not seen our way to re-arrange all the constituencies which by the B. N. A. Act and previous legislation did not conform to county boundaries, nor has any one proposed that we should, but we have diminished the number of such irregular constituencies. On the other hand, the Dominion Parliament's redistribution of 1882 increased their number and disregarded the municipal county boundaries wherever any political advantage could be obtained by doing so.

The platform thus contains nothing as to any special interest of Patrons being neglected by the Reform Government or their supporters, and sets forth no purpose of the organization which calls for the abandonment of the Reform party by Reformers. But, whatever may have been the original purpose of the organization, the organization is now used against the Ontario Government and Reform party in the pending elections for North Bruce and East Lambton, and there is an evident purpose on the part of some of the leaders of the new party at the next general election to throw the whole force of the organization against the Ontario Government and the Reform party in Reform constituencies, though this action in those constituencies should result in sending Conservatives to the House instead of Reformers.

COUNTY EXPENDITURES.

Another document is more specific in charges against the Reform Government and the Reform party supporting it. This document could not have emanated from a Patron who had any sympathy with the Reform party, or who was familiar with the facts. The document originated in the eastern part of the Province, and is said to have been adopted by many lodges there, and to be about to be submitted to the lodges of the Province generally. It has, I believe, been copied into the *Canada Farmer's Sun*, with words of approval. This document deals altogether with Provincial matters, and pretends to set forth grounds of complaint which the Patrons have against the Ontario Government and its Reform supporters. The document states "that the ratepayers of Ontario, more particularly the farming classes, are much subjected to heavy burdens at the hands of the Ontario Legislature, by being compelled to contribute to the erection and maintenance and support of public buildings, the Administration of Justice expense, the support and salaries of Provincial and municipal officers; all of which should be assumed by the Government, as is the case in the neighboring Province of Quebec, notwithstanding the fact that the latter Province has a much smaller

source
Premi
ple to
if not
in ho
financ
Provi
are cl
\$15.5
or sh
shunn

A
the co
the F
broug
assum
assum
how i
expen
munic
safely
so dis
(\$3.38
the P
propo
other
now p
for ed
such a
ture
munic
out of
which
munit
as pro
maint
Justic
sidera
Gover

L
meet
these
stater
that t
the F
fact i
vincio
"rea
case
other

source of revenue than Ontario." This is the first time during my Premiership that the Province of Quebec has been held up as an example to Ontario. Does it not occur to those Patrons who are Reformers, if not to those who are Conservatives, that there must be some mistake in holding Quebec up as an example to Ontario in legislation or financial management. The mode of payment there has involved that Province in a public debt of \$25,842,117. Against this amount there are claimed to be assets, \$10,277,700, making the clear admitted debt \$15,564,447. Is that an example which Ontario should have followed, or should follow now? Is it not on the contrary an example to be shunned?

As to the Administration of Justice. A considerable part of what the counties pay in the first instance is and always has been repaid by the Province to the counties, as shown by the Public Accounts brought down and printed every session. If the Province were to assume that part of the county expenditure which is not repaid, and to assume the salaries of all municipal officers as this document claims, how is the Province to find the money to do this and yet meet its other expenditures? Early in my administration we distributed amongst the municipalities as much of the Provincial assets as we thought could safely and properly be diverted from Provincial objects. The amount so distributed was large, being considerably upwards of \$3,000,000 (\$3,388,777). It is not in the general interest to distribute more of the Provincial money in that way. To assume such expenditures as now proposed would but have the effect of throwing on the municipalities other expenditures now made by the Province. Thus the municipalities now pay but part of what is paid for education. The Province pays for education \$653,161. For the maintenance of our public institutions such as Central Prison, Asylums, etc., \$818,435. Grants to Agriculture and Arts, \$167,282. These sums are over and above what the municipalities pay for like objects, and it would be impossible to pay out of Provincial funds these expenditures, and other expenditures in which farmers are as much interested as any other class of the community, if in addition to its present expenditures the Province were, as proposed, to assume what counties now "contribute to the erection, maintenance and support of public buildings, the Administration of Justice expenses, and the salaries of municipal officials." This consideration is wholly overlooked in this indictment of the Reform Government and its supporters.

MISLEADING STATEMENTS.

Looking through the reports which have come in my way of Patrons meetings I find many misleading statements which are made at some of these meetings; and I do not assume that the speakers who made these statements were aware of the facts. Thus, one Patron told his audience that the doctors "had a tariff of fees, and that tariff was legalized by the Provincial Government." I dare say that he believed this, but the fact is that no tariff of the doctors has been legalized by the Provincial Government or Legislature. The statute confines them to "reasonable charges," and what are reasonable the Act leaves in case of dispute to be decided by the Court or Jury, as in the case of all other demands by one man against another.

MUNICIPAL ASSESSMENT LAW.

Another statement at a Patrons' meeting was, that "a farmer has to pay taxes on the full value of his property; but the man who holds \$100,000 worth of bonds or debentures pays only on the interest on the investments." The fact is, that there are important respects in which the farmer is favored, and other classes of taxpayers complain of this favoritism. Thus, the Assessment law exempts all horses, cattle, sheep and swine which are owned and held by any owner or tenant of any farm where such owner or tenant is carrying on the general business of farming. (55 Vict., c. 48, s. 7, sub-section 14a.) As for Provincial and Municipal debentures being exempt from municipal taxation, the object was to increase by so much the value and saleableness of these debentures, for the benefit of the Province and of municipalities. The exemption extends to Dominion debentures also, but of these very few, if any, are held in the Province, and the extension of the exemption to Dominion debentures has never hitherto been complained of. As the farmer is assessed for the farm, so the holder of debentures is assessed for any real estate which he may hold. A farmer pays no taxes on his stock, while the merchant and manufacturer pay taxes on their goods. The interest only on mortgages has hitherto been assessable instead of the principal, the reason being that the practical effect of taxing the mortgagee for the principal was thought to be that he would always take a covenant from the mortgagor that the mortgagor should pay this tax as well as all other taxes, and the poor mortgagor would thus be taxed twice—first on his land, and secondly on the money he borrows on it. Mortgagors find it hard enough to pay the one tax, with out having to pay two. But the question of taxing the principal is a fair one for discussion and honest difference of opinion; it has never been a party question. Another Patron speaks of "millions of dollars worth of property in the shape of bonds, notes and mortgages," being exempt from municipal taxation. Bonds and notes are not exempt any more than any other unspecified personal property.

THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS.

A speaker at one of the meetings is reported to have made this statement: "One object of the Patrons is to find out where the public money goes. Patrons (he said) do know where the money which they pay to their lodges goes. Detailed accounts are given every year. Could he tell us where every cent of the money which finds its way into the hands of the different parties in power finds its outlet? There must (the speaker averred) be a big hole in the bottom of the chest somewhere. Better let the Patrons try and find it." Now, detailed accounts of all Provincial expenditure showing where every cent goes, are given every year, and as regularly as like accounts may be given to lodges. Moreover, every year a Committee of the House is appointed to examine these accounts. Of this committee, besides the Government supporters thereon, the ablest members of the Opposition are members, and they do their best every year to find out anything wrong, or anything which they may persuade people is wrong! Vouchers are inspected; witnesses are called and are publicly examined. Patrons could not be more zealous than these members are, and for want of experience would not be nearly

so efficient in the investigation. The detailed proceedings of the committee are published every year, and if there has been no discovery of anything wrong, it is because there was nothing wrong to be discovered.

If such statements as I have quoted are believed by those to whom they are made, it is a case of the blind leading the blind. I find in the reported speeches and in newspaper articles much more of the same sort.

In a word, and as a conclusion of the whole matter, I claim that the Reform party has always been specially a farmers' party, while faithful to every other class as well; and that the Reform party is the true farmers' party. The candidate of the Reform party is a farmer, and I claim for him as being a Reformer and a farmer, the support of the electors of North Bruce.

mer has to
who holds
rest on the
pects in
complain
all horses,
owner or
he general
As for
municipal
saleable-
of municipi-
so, but of
tension of
been com-
holder of
hold. A
manufacturer
hitherto
that the
thought to
that the
the poor
secondly
enough to
on of tax-
ference of
on speaks
nds, notes
Bonds and
personal

this state-
blic money
ey pay to
Could he
the hands
must (the
mewhere.
nts of all
ven every
More-
examine
supporters
and they
ng which
witnesses
re zealous
be nearly